



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1093/ageing/afw174](https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afw174)

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Hamada, S., & Gulliford, M. C. (2017). Drug prescribing during the last year of life in very old people with diabetes. *Age and Ageing*, 46(1), 147-151. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afw174>

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Drug prescribing during the last year of life in very old people with diabetes

SHOTA HAMADA¹, MARTIN C. GULLIFORD^{1,2}

¹Department of Primary Care and Public Health Sciences, King's College London, London, UK

²National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

Address correspondence to: S. Hamada, Department of Primary Care and Public Health Sciences, King's College London, 3rd floor, Addison House, London SE1 1UL, UK. Tel: +44 (0)20 7848 6426; Fax: +44 (0)20 7848 6620.

Email: hamada.shota@kcl.ac.uk

Abstract

Objective: to evaluate primary care drug utilisation during the last year of life, focusing on antidiabetic and cardiovascular drugs, in patients of advanced age with diabetes.

Design: population-based cohort study.

Setting: primary care database in the UK.

Subjects: patients with type 2 diabetes who died at over 80 years of age between 2011 and 13.

Methods: main outcome measures included proportions of patients prescribed different classes of drugs, comparing the first (Q1) and the fourth quarters (Q4) of the last year of life.

Results: the study included 5,324 patients, with the median age 86 years and 50% female. Three-fourths of the patients received five or more drugs, and the total number of drugs prescribed was almost stable at 6.2 ± 3.1 (mean \pm SD) during the last year of life. Substantial proportions of patients were treated with antidiabetic drugs (78%), antihypertensive drugs (76%), statins (62%) and low-dose aspirin (46%) in Q1. Prescribing of these drugs slightly decreased by 3–8% in Q4. There were increases in prescribing of anti-infectives (35% in Q1 to 50% in Q4), drugs for nervous system (63% to 73%), drugs for respiratory system (24% to 33%) and systemic hormonal drugs (22% to 27%).

Conclusion: patients of advanced age with type 2 diabetes were often treated with antidiabetic and cardiovascular drugs even when approaching death. More research is needed to generate evidence to guide optimal drug utilisation for older people with a limited life expectancy.

Keywords: aged, 80 and over, end-of-life care, older people, polypharmacy, type 2 diabetes mellitus

Introduction

The number of very old people is increasing, and both the prevalence of chronic diseases, including diabetes, and the intensity of drug treatment have been increasing in this population [1]. Patients with diabetes are commonly treated with multiple classes of drugs to control risk factor values and to reduce cardiovascular risk, consistent with guideline recommendations [2]. These recommendations are often applied in very old people over 80 years of age [3]. Drugs to lower cardiovascular risk are often prescribed for several decades or even life-long, despite

lack of evidence for such a long-term therapy [4]. Some observational studies suggest that low levels of cardiovascular risk factors, including HbA1c, blood pressure and cholesterol, may sometimes be associated with higher mortality in very old patients with type 2 diabetes [5]. As patients approach the end-of-life, care to control symptoms and to improve well-being become important. At present, there is insufficient evidence to guide end-of-life care for patients with diabetes [6, 7]. This study aimed to evaluate primary care drug utilisation during the last year of life, focusing on antidiabetic and cardiovascular drugs, in patients of advanced age with diabetes.

Methods

Patients

Patients 80 years or older with type 2 diabetes who died between 2011 and 13 were sampled from the UK Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD). CPRD collects electronic health records from primary care across the UK, and participants are representative of general population [8]. Patients with type 2 diabetes were selected based on diagnoses of diabetes, HbA1c values and prescriptions of antidiabetic drugs. Patients who consulted their general practitioners at least once every 3 months in the last year of life were selected to include community-dwelling people who were generally managed in primary care. Patients who ended their registration with a CPRD general practice before death were excluded. The study was approved by the CPRD Independent Scientific Advisory Committee (ISAC Protocol 14_053).

Measurements

All drugs prescribed, except for topical drugs for local effects, vitamins/minerals, nutritional products, herbal remedy or vaccines, were evaluated regardless of duration of treatment. We focused on antidiabetic drugs and cardiovascular drugs for prevention and treatment of cardiovascular diseases (CVD), including antihypertensive drugs, statins and low-dose aspirin. Numbers of drug classes for individual patients were evaluated according to the third level (pharmacological subgroup; e.g. A10A insulins and analogues) of the WHO ATC classification.

Analysis

Baseline characteristics were evaluated at the start of observation (i.e. 365 days before death dates). Frequencies and proportions of patients prescribed each class of drugs were calculated in the first (Q1; 12–9 months before death) and the fourth quarters (Q4; 3 months before death to death dates) of the last year of life. Analysis was performed in overall and according to a history of CVD (coronary heart disease and stroke). Changes in prescriptions from Q1 to Q4 and differences in prescriptions between patients with CVD and those without were tested. Total numbers of drug classes were counted on the monthly basis.

Results

Characteristics of patients

The study included 5,324 patients, with the median age 86 years and 50% female (Table 1). The median duration of diabetes was 10 years. Half of the patients had a history of CVD. Two-thirds of the patients had estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) of <60 mL/min/ 1.73 m², calculated using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration equation [9]. A majority of the patients were

managed their cardiovascular risk factors below relaxed targets for HbA1c ($<8.5\%$ or <69 mmol/mol), blood pressure (systolic <150 and diastolic <90 mmHg) and total cholesterol (<5.0 mmol/L).

Antidiabetic and cardiovascular drugs

Most of the patients (78%) were treated with antidiabetic drugs during the last year of life (Table 2). Metformin and sulphonylureas were prescribed frequently at similar levels in overall. Metformin was less frequently prescribed in patients with decreased renal function: among patients on antidiabetic medications, although 70% of the patients with eGFR ≥ 60 mL/min/ 1.73 m² received metformin, 35% or 14% of the patients with eGFR <45 or <30 mL/min/ 1.73 m², respectively, received this drug. Insulins were more frequently prescribed in patients with a history of CVD compared with those without ($P < 0.001$). A slight decrease (6%) in prescribing of antidiabetic drugs were observed from Q1 to Q4 ($P < 0.001$). Substantial proportions of patients were treated with antihypertensive drugs (76%), statins (62%) and low-dose aspirin (46%) in Q1. Patients with a history of CVD had more intensive pharmacological treatment with the cardiovascular drugs than those without (all $P < 0.001$). Prescribing of the cardiovascular drugs decreased by 3–8% from Q1 to Q4 as patients approached death (all $P < 0.001$).

Table 1. Characteristics of patients ($N = 5,324$)

Characteristics		<i>n</i> (%)/ Median (IQR)
Sex		
	Male	2,656 (50)
	Female	2,668 (50)
Age (years)		86 (83–89)
Duration of diabetes (years)		10 (6–16)
eGFR (mL/min/ 1.73 m ²)		
	<30	773 (15)
	30–44	1,290 (24)
	45–59	1,306 (25)
	≥ 60	1,955 (37)
HbA1c (%; mmol/mol)		
	<6.5 (<48)	1,164 (22)
	6.5–7.4 (48–57)	1,901 (36)
	7.5–8.4 (58–68)	876 (16)
	≥ 8.5 (≥ 69)	1,383 (26)
Systolic/diastolic blood pressure (mmHg) ^a		
	<130 and <70	1,156 (23)
	<140 and <80 (but ≥ 130 or ≥ 70)	1,770 (36)
	<150 and <90 (but ≥ 140 or ≥ 80)	1,236 (25)
	$\geq 150/90$	817 (16)
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)		
	<3.0	531 (10)
	3.0–3.9	1,721 (32)
	4.0–4.9	1,431 (27)
	≥ 5.0	1,641 (31)
Comorbidities		
	Cardiovascular disease	2,710 (51)
	Coronary heart disease	2,260 (42)
	Stroke	815 (15)
	Cancer	1,875 (35)
	Dementia/Cognitive decline	673 (13)

eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; IQR, interquartile range.

^aExcluding 345 patients with missing values for blood pressure.

Table 2. Prescriptions of antidiabetic and cardiovascular drugs in the last year of life

	Overall (N = 5,324)			No history of CVD (N = 2,614)			History of CVD (N = 2,710)		
	Q1	Q4	P value	Q1	Q4	P value	Q1	Q4	P value
Antidiabetic drugs	4,164 (78)	3,816 (72)	<0.001	2,048 (78)	1,864 (71)	<0.001	2,116 (78)	1,952 (72)	<0.001
Insulins	791 (15)	768 (14)	0.189	321 (12)	310 (12)	0.351	470 (17)	458 (17)	0.355
Metformin	2,316 (44)	2,054 (39)	<0.001	1,186 (45)	1,046 (40)	<0.001	1,130 (42)	1,008 (37)	<0.001
Sulphonylureas	2,215 (42)	1,937 (36)	<0.001	1,130 (43)	989 (38)	<0.001	1,085 (40)	948 (35)	<0.001
Other antidiabetic drugs	433 (8)	388 (7)	0.002	242 (9)	210 (8)	0.002	191 (7)	178 (7)	0.173
Antihypertensive drugs	4,053 (76)	3,629 (68)	<0.001	1,882 (72)	1,647 (63)	<0.001	2,171 (80)	1,982 (73)	<0.001
RAS blockers	3,037 (57)	2,510 (47)	<0.001	1,387 (53)	1,133 (43)	<0.001	1,650 (61)	1,377 (51)	<0.001
β-blockers	1,648 (31)	1,676 (31)	0.205	552 (21)	559 (21)	0.622	1,096 (40)	1,117 (41)	0.215
Ca-channel blockers	1,554 (29)	1,304 (24)	<0.001	797 (30)	676 (26)	<0.001	757 (28)	628 (23)	<0.001
Thiazide diuretics	700 (13)	498 (9)	<0.001	428 (16)	292 (11)	<0.001	272 (10)	206 (8)	<0.001
Statins	3,290 (62)	2,850 (54)	<0.001	1,389 (53)	1,179 (45)	<0.001	1,901 (70)	1,671 (62)	<0.001
Low-dose aspirin	2,461 (46)	2,281 (43)	<0.001	1,006 (39)	943 (36)	0.001	1,455 (54)	1,338 (49)	<0.001

CVD, cardiovascular diseases. Data are shown as frequencies (%).

Q1, 12–9 months before death; Q4, 3 months before death to death dates.

RAS blockers: drugs affecting the renin–angiotensin system.

Overall prescriptions

Drugs in ATC main groups B (e.g. low-dose aspirin and warfarin) and C (e.g. antihypertensive drugs, statins, diuretics and drugs for angina) were less prescribed in Q4 compared with Q1 (B, 66% in Q1 to 61% in Q4; C, 90% to 84%). Drugs in group J (anti-infectives for systemic use) were increased most (35% in Q1 to 50% in Q4), followed by group N (nervous system, 63% to 73%), group R (respiratory system, 24% to 33%) and group H (systemic hormonal preparations; e.g. thyroid hormones and corticosteroids, 22% to 27%). Other frequently prescribed groups of drugs (group A, 91% to 90%; G, 15% to 16% and M, 22% to 21%) were prescribed at similar levels between Q1 and Q4.

In patients who received any of the drugs of interest in a given month (79–92% of the overall patients), the total number of drugs prescribed was almost stable at 6.2 ± 3.1 (mean \pm SD) during the last year of life, and 55% or 18% of the patients received 5–9 or 10 different classes of drugs in a month.

Discussion

This study showed that very old people with diabetes received intense pharmacological treatment with antidiabetic and cardiovascular drugs during the last year of life. We caution that the study cohort were selected because they died, but death may not always have been clearly anticipated. Their care may not have been recognised as being for the end-of-life. Prescribing might be justified if patients were expected to live longer than the time needed to obtain benefits from the drugs. Even if antidiabetic medications do not exert preventive effects on long-term complications due to a short period of follow-up, blood glucose lowering may reduce risks for infections and dehydration at the end-of-life phase. However, avoidance of diabetes-related emergent complications, such as hypoglycaemia, is an important aspect of care for older patients with diabetes [7]. Potential

overtreatment of blood glucose and blood pressure in older people were recently reported in the USA [10, 11]. However, clinicians may be reluctant to de-escalate treatment in patients with evidence of complications. These findings may be partly supported by the perception of a difficulty in making decisions on deintensification of drug treatment for future risk reduction [12]. Polypharmacy was commonly observed in this study, which could be a great concern because of increased risks of drug–drug interactions and adverse events [13, 14]. Further research on reducing inappropriate drugs in patients approaching the end-of-life is needed [15].

‘Deprescribing’ is a medication review process of discontinuing drugs to improve outcomes and decrease risks associated with polypharmacy [16]. So far, some recommendations on end-of-life care in patients with diabetes have been provided based on available clinical evidence [6, 7, 17]. Evidence supporting decision-making of discontinuation of drugs may be generated from clinical studies or observational studies with careful consideration of confounding by indication. A recent randomised controlled trial suggested that discontinuation of statins appeared to be safe, might improve quality of life and reduced medication costs in patients with a limited life expectancy [18]. However, some issues were indicated in the study [19, 20], which can be also challenges for deprescribing trials in the future. Further research is required on how to generate and utilise evidence to reduce the inappropriate use of drugs in such populations.

There were some limitations in this study although the CPRD provided a representative sample of the UK general population. First, we did not separate patients based on an estimated life expectancy or analyse causes of deaths, which made it difficult to evaluate appropriateness of prescribing. Second, we could not know if patients actually took their medications prescribed from the database. Some patients might have discontinued taking the drugs prescribed, especially in the last few months of life. Third, we analysed data

from primary care, including some over-the-counter drugs recorded in the CPRD but did not include drugs prescribed in hospitals or care facilities. Finally, the study cohort managed in primary care might have different health status and intensity of pharmacological treatment compared with those managed in other settings, which may limit generalisability of the results.

Conclusion

Patients with type 2 diabetes were often treated with anti-diabetic and cardiovascular drugs even in their advanced ages approaching death. Deprescribing might be considered for this population when patients are not expected to have enough time to obtain benefits from the medications or patients are at substantial risks of symptomatic adverse events. More research is needed to generate evidence to guide optimal drug utilisation for older people with a limited life expectancy.

Key points

- Prescribing of medications during the last year of life in primary care was evaluated in older patients with diabetes.
- Patients with diabetes were often treated with antidiabetic and cardiovascular drugs at the end-of-life.
- Discontinuation of some of drugs might be considered to improve outcomes and decrease risks of adverse events.
- More research is needed to generate evidence to guide optimal drug utilisation for this vulnerable population.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. This work was also supported in part by The Dunhill Medical Trust (grant number: R392/1114). This study is based on data from the CPRD obtained under license from the UK Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency. However, the interpretation and conclusions contained in this report are those of the authors alone and not necessarily those of the National Health Service, the NIHR or the Department of Health. Open access for this article was funded by King's College London.

Conflicts of interest

None declared.

References

1. Melzer D, Tavakoly B, Winder RE *et al*. Much more medicine for the oldest old: trends in UK electronic clinical records. *Age Ageing* 2015; 44: 46–53.
2. Bauer S, Nauck MA. Polypharmacy in people with Type 1 and Type 2 diabetes is justified by current guidelines—a comprehensive assessment of drug prescriptions in patients needing inpatient treatment for diabetes-associated problems. *Diabet Med* 2014; 31: 1078–85.
3. Hamada S, Gulliford MC. Antidiabetic and cardiovascular drug utilisation in patients diagnosed with type 2 diabetes mellitus over the age of 80 years: a population-based cohort study. *Age Ageing* 2015; 44: 566–73.
4. Rossello X, Pocock SJ, Julian DG. Long-term use of cardiovascular drugs: challenges for research and for patient care. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2015; 66: 1273–85.
5. Hamada S, Gulliford MC. Mortality in individuals aged 80 and older with type 2 diabetes mellitus in relation to glycosylated hemoglobin, blood pressure, and total cholesterol. *J Am Geriatr Soc*. 2016; 64: 1425–31.
6. van Nordennen RT, Lavrijsen JC, Vissers KC, Koopmans RT. Decision making about change of medication for comorbid disease at the end of life: an integrative review. *Drugs Aging* 2014; 31: 501–12.
7. Diabetes UK. End of Life Diabetes Care: Clinical Care Recommendations. Second Edition. October 2013. <https://www.diabetes.org.uk/upload/Position%20statements/End-of-life-care-Clinical-recs111113.pdf> (14 June 2016, date last accessed)
8. Herrett E, Gallagher AM, Bhaskaran K *et al*. Data resource profile: clinical practice research datalink (CPRD). *Int J Epidemiol* 2015; 44: 827–36.
9. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmid CH *et al*. A new equation to estimate glomerular filtration rate. *Ann Intern Med* 2009; 150: 604–12.
10. Lipska KJ, Ross JS, Miao Y, Shah ND, Lee SJ, Steinman MA. Potential overtreatment of diabetes mellitus in older adults with tight glycemic control. *JAMA Intern Med* 2015; 175: 356–62.
11. Sussman JB, Kerr EA, Saini SD *et al*. Rates of deintensification of blood pressure and glycemic medication treatment based on levels of control and life expectancy in older patients with diabetes mellitus. *JAMA Intern Med* 2015; 175: 1942–9.
12. Graham J. End-of-Life medications draw more attention, greater scrutiny. *JAMA* 2015; 313: 231–3.
13. Morgan NA, Rowett D, Currow DC. Analysis of drug interactions at the end of life. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* 2015; 5: 281–6.
14. Todd A, Husband A, Andrew I, Pearson SA, Lindsey L, Holmes H. Inappropriate prescribing of preventative medication in patients with life-limiting illness: a systematic review. *BMJ Support Palliat Care* 2016. pii: bmjspcare-2015-000941. doi:10.1136/bmjspcare-2015-000941.
15. van der Cammen TJ, Rajkumar C, Onder G, Sterke CS, Petrovic M. Drug cessation in complex older adults: time for action. *Age Ageing* 2014; 43: 20–5.
16. Frank C, Weir E. Deprescribing for older patients. *CMAJ* 2014; 186: 1369–76.
17. Scott IA, Gray LC, Martin JH, Pillans PI, Mitchell CA. Deciding when to stop: towards evidence-based deprescribing of drugs in older populations. *Evid Based Med* 2013; 18: 121–4.
18. Kutner JS, Blatchford PJ, Taylor DH Jr *et al*. Safety and benefit of discontinuing statin therapy in the setting of advanced,

life-limiting illness: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Intern Med* 2015; 175: 691–700.

19. Geijteman EC, Tiemeier H, van Gelder T. Selecting the optimal design for drug discontinuation trials in a setting of advanced, life-limiting illness. *JAMA Intern Med* 2015; 175: 1724–5.
20. Mody P, Nguyen OK. Selecting the optimal design for drug discontinuation trials in a setting of advanced, life-limiting illness. *JAMA Intern Med* 2015; 175: 1725.

Received 27 March 2016; accepted in revised form 5 October 2016

Age and Ageing 2016; **46**: 151–155

© The Author 2016. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Geriatrics Society.

doi: 10.1093/ageing/afw143

All rights reserved. For Permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com

Published electronically 8 September 2016

Tolerance of subcutaneously administered antibiotics: a French national prospective study

CLAIRE ROUBAUD-BAUDRON¹, EMMANUEL FORESTIER², THIBAUT FRAISSE³, JACQUES GAILLAT⁴,
BENOIT DE WAZIÈRES⁵, LEONARDO PAGANI⁴, ISABELLE INGRAND^{6,7}, LOUIS BERNARD⁸, GAËTAN GAVAZZI⁹,
MARC PACCALIN¹⁰; Intergroupe SPILF-SFGG

¹Pôle de Gériontologie Clinique, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire - Hôpitaux de Bordeaux, Université de Bordeaux, F-33000 Bordeaux, France

²Service de Maladies Infectieuses, Centre Hospitalier Métropole Savoie, F-73000 Chambéry, France

³Court Séjour Gériatrique Aigu, Centre Hospitalier Alès-Cévennes, F-30100 Alès, France

⁴Service de Maladies Infectieuses, Centre Hospitalier Annecy Genevois, F-74000 Annecy, France

⁵Médecine Interne Gériatrique, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nîmes, F-30000 Nîmes, France

⁶Pôle Biologie, Pharmacie et Santé Publique, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Poitiers, Université de Poitiers, F-86000 Poitiers, France

⁷INSERM, CIC 1402, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Poitiers, Université de Poitiers, F-86000 Poitiers, France

⁸Service de Maladies Infectieuses, Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire Bretonneau, F-37000 Tours, France

⁹Clinique Universitaire de Médecine Gériatrique, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Grenoble, F-38000 Grenoble, France

¹⁰Pôle de Gériatrie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Poitiers, Université de Poitiers, F-86000 Poitiers, France

Address correspondence to: C. Roubaud Baudron. Tel: +33 5 57 65 66 10; Fax: +33 5 57 65 62 24.

Email: claire.roubaud@chu-bordeaux.fr

Abstract

Background/Objective: although poorly documented, subcutaneous (SC) administration of antibiotics is common practice in France especially in Geriatrics Departments. The aim of this study was to determine the tolerance of such a practice.

Design: prospective observational multicentre study.

Methods: sixty-six physicians accepted to participate from 50 French Infectious Diseases and Geriatrics Departments. From May to September 2014, patients treated at least one day with SC antibiotics could be included. Modalities of subcutaneous administration, occurrence of local and systemic adverse effects (AE) and clinical course were collected until the end of the treatment.

Results: two hundred-nineteen patients (83.0 [19–104] yo) were included. Ceftriaxone ($n = 163$, 74.4%), and ertapenem ($n = 30$, 13.7%) were the most often prescribed antibiotics. The SC route was mainly used because of poor venous access (65.3%) and/or palliative care (32.4%). Fifty patients (22.8%) experienced at least one local AE that led to an increased hospital stay for two patients (4.0%) and a discontinuation of the SC infusion in six patients (12.0%). A binary logistic regression for multivariate analysis identified the class of antibiotic ($p = 0.002$) especially teicoplanin and the use of rigid catheter ($p = 0.009$) as factors independently associated with AE. In over 80% of cases, SC antibiotics were well tolerated and associated with clinical recovery.

Conclusions: SC administration of antibiotics leads to frequent but local and mild AE. Use of non-rigid catheter appears to be protective against AE. As it appears to be a safe alternative to the intravenous route, more studies are needed regarding efficacy and pharmacokinetics.